**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**
**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6837 OF 2005**

Gangaprasad @ Majnu Rajaram Yadav ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Shri Rishi Bhuta for the Applicant.
Ms M.H. Mhatre, A.P.P. for the State.

**CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.**
**DATE : OCTOBER 24, 2005.**

**P.C.:**

1. Heard the learned Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The offence alleged against the Applicant and co-accused is under sections 326, 324 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. It is not in dispute that investigation has been completed and chargesheet will be filed very shortly. The learned Additional Sessions Judge has enlarged the other two accused on bail.

2. Considering the nature of injuries on the person of the injured and other material on record collected during investigation, in my view case is made out for enlarging the Applicant on bail.

3. Hence, I pass the following order:

: O R D E R :

i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on bail in

connection with **C.R.No.423 of 2005 registered with Saki Naka Police Station,Mumbai,** subject to furnishing a personal bond of **Rs.10,000/-** with one or two sureties in the like amount.

ii) The Bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement or threat to any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner tamper with the prosecution evidence.

iii) The Applicant shall co-operate with the learned Trial Judge for expeditious disposal of the trial. Any attempt by the Applicant to delay the trial may be a ground for cancellation of bail.

iv) Any observation made in this order shall not be construed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merits of the case at the time of trial.

v) Application is disposed of in above terms.

vi) The parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

**JUDGE**